



Wood's extraordinary rewards must be

Chauffeur—No. Too much space around you  
and no one to run down.

The chief increase in this list was \$56,000 to Germany, \$147,000 to Britain, and \$17,000 to the United States. The decrease in the United States was considerable. The decrease was rather and inconsiderable.

WALTER J. BALLARD.

SCHEENSTADT, Aug. 22.

Will Dr. Briggs bring up in the Catholic Church?

An article in *The American Journal of Theology* from the pen of Dr. C. A. Briggs of the Union Theological Seminary, entitled "Catholicism—the National Church," is signed by the name of Dr. Briggs. The article is written from the fact that Dr. Briggs writes it and from the trend of the subject matter of the article.

The author proves by his historical argument that the Catholic Church always stood for three essential things: (1) the vital unity of the Church in Christ; (2) the geographical unity of the Church extending throughout the world; (3) the historical unity of the Church in Apostolic tradition. The conclusion is, they who would have a just claim to this title must possess this triple unity.

We find such passages in the following scattered through the article as are mere perversity not to return to Rome if the conscience is convinced the Nation is right in all her great controversies with Protestantism. It is not to be denied that the Roman Catholic Church of modern day is the heir by unbroken descent to the Roman Catholic Church of the second century, and that it is justified in using the name "Catholic" as the name of the Church as well as the name of its members. If we would be Catholic, we can not become Catholic by merely calling ourselves by that name. Unless a name corresponds with the thing it is a sham and it is a shame.

**Native and Foreign in Our Population.**

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN.—SIR: I have been much interested in your discussion as to the foreign element in our population. Perhaps you will be good enough to give me the following figures in calculation to which you stimulated me, the method by which I reach my conclusions is so complicated and tedious to bother you with. Some of the figures are as follows:

Native white stock in 1600, less than three eighths of total population (under 28,000,000).  
Native white stock in 1800, more than three eighths of total population (over 28,000,000).  
Foreign born whites, more than one eighth of total population.